# Research Article Trend Surface Analysis of Hand, Foot and Mouth Disease in Sarawak

<sup>1, 2</sup>M.S. Noraishah, <sup>1, 2</sup>I. Krishnarajah, <sup>1, 2</sup>N.A. Ibrahim and <sup>3</sup>M.S. Lye

<sup>1</sup>Laboratory of Computational and Operational Research, Institute for Mathematical Research,

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science,

<sup>3</sup>Department of Community Health, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 UPM Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia

**Abstract:** In this study we aim to fit a trend surface model for Hand, Foot And Mouth Disease (HFMD) in Sarawak and also check the adequacy of the model obtained. For this, the epidemiological data of year 2012(outbreak year) and 2011 are used. Models were built up to second order polynomial based on the idea that number of HFMD cases can be described by regression residual analysis. With Moran *I* statistic, residuals from all the trend surface models were examined for spatial autocorrelation. All six trend surface models for 2011 data had residuals that were not spatially autocorrelated. However, one model for 2012 data showed spatial autocorrelation. The best model for each year of the two years that represent disease outbreak and non-outbreak situations was selected based on Mallows' Cp statistic. These models could be used to predict the number of cases for locations of interest.

Keywords: HFMD, Sarawak, spatial autocorrelation, trend surface model

# INTRODUCTION

Hand, Food and Mouth Disease (HFMD) is defined as a common viral illness that usually affects infants and children younger than 5 years old (CDC, 2011). It is characterized by fever, blister-like sores in the mouth and a skin rash (CDC, 2011). These symptoms will show up after three to seven days of infection. At the beginning, a person will get mild fever, poor appetite, malaise (feeling vaguely unwell) and often a sore throat.

From (Sarawak Health Department, 2006), it is known that individual cases and outbreaks of HFMD occur worldwide. It becomes more frequent in summer and early autumn (in countries with a temperate climate). The incubation period is 4 to 6 days (Sarawak Health Department, 2003). In the recent past, major outbreaks of HFMD attributable to enterovirus 71 have been reported in Malaysia in 1997 and in Taiwan in 1998. Between 15 April to 30 June 1997 in Sarawak, 31 previously healthy infants and young children died after a short febrile illness against a background of an outbreak of HFMD in the state

Chinese Ministry of Health stated that HFMD is one of the diseases that are widely-spread infectious disease in China (Hu *et al.*, 2012). A spatial autocorrelation that was first published by Cliff and Ord (1981) is considered since many studies in China focus on this topic, for example (Wang *et al.*, 2011; Bie *et al.*, 2010; Hu *et al.*, 2012; Zhou, 2012). There is a significant association between the HFMD spatiotemporal type and the climate indicators that were identified in the maps (Wang *et al.*, 2011). They also claimed that intervention and prevention measures should be focused predominantly on kindergartens and junior schools located in the HFMD risk areas during the risk periods.

A serious area of HFMD epidemic situation in 2008 concentrated in three regions which are three northern provinces/city (Hebei, Beijing and Shanxi), three southern provinces/autonomous region (Guangdong, Guangxi and Hainan) as well as the eastern provinces/city (Zhejiang, Shanghai and Anhui) (Bie *et al.*, 2010). The number of reported cases, morbidity and mortality has remarkable differences between various provinces where the number of reported cases concentrated in eastern and southern of China and the Qinghai-Tibet Region. The Northwestern region is few.

Another study in China (Hu *et al.*, 2012) found that child population density and climate factors are potential determinants of the HFMD incidence. The strength and direction of association between these factors and the incidence of HFDM is spatially heterogeneous at the local geographic level where child population density has a greater influence on the incidence of HFMD rather than the climate factors.

**Corresponding Author:** M.S. Noraishah, Laboratory of Computational and Operational Research, Institute for Mathematical Research, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 UPM Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (URL: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

According to Tiing and Labadin (2008), there is no permanent immunity against HFMD as the disease is caused by a group of viruses much like the case of flu. Sarawak Health Department also clarified that there is no specific antiviral drug to cure HFMD. Besides, there is no vaccine available for the treatment of HFMD. Therefore, many actions have been taken to prevent this disease from spreading such as practising good personal hygiene, covering the mouth and nose when coughing or sneezing and closing kindergartens and nurseries if there is a case of HFMD or suspected death due to enteroviral infection at the center.

Recently, Zhou (2012) reveals that in years of a high incidence of the disease, the spatial distribution of HFMD in Ningbo, China has a certain stability. That is, the direction of high incidence of disease (North-west 26 degrees) and the direction of low incidence of disease (North-east 64 degrees) basically remain stable.

Estimation and prediction of HFMD in space is important. In order to predict the number of cases for location of interest, we need a reliable model. The dataset used consist of observations considered over a given area and thus spatial component becomes necessary in the model. This imposes a question of whether HFMD in certain district is spatially correlated, then what is the trend of HFMD? Hence, trend surface analysis is needed. Since in the authors' knowledge there is no such study yet based on the Sarawak data, this study is carried out. In this study, all possible combination of models were obtained using Mallow's Cp statistic.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sarawak is one of two Malaysian states on the island of Borneo which is situated on the northwest of the island, bordering the Malaysian state of Sabah to the northeast, Indonesia to the south and surrounding Brunei. It is the largest state in Malaysia. There are 33 districts in Sarawak as shown in Fig. 1.

Study done by Podin *et al.* (2006) revealed that Sarawak has been experiencing outbreak of HFMD once every three years since 1997 and predicted that an outbreak would occur in 2006. The outbreak did occur in 2006 and health authorities in Sarawak confirmed this revelation as mentioned in Sarawak Health Department (2006).

In 2011, 2900 cases have been reported (Sarawak Health Department, 2012). During this year, the number of cases did not reach the outbreak level. The top five districts with the highest total number of reported cases were Kuching, Bintulu, Miri, Sarikei and Samarahan (Sarawak Health Department, 2012). Sarawak Health Department has announced an outbreak in 2012 from the week 10 but it started to decrease below the outbreak level since week 32. Kuching have been the highest number of cases followed by Samarahan, Miri, Sibu and Sarikei.

The number of cases given is by districts and epidemiology week in Sarawak. For this study, we look at two scenarios of HFMD where we used the number of notified cases for the year 2011 (no outbreak) and 2012 (during outbreak) as in Fig. 2.

The geographic data with the location coordinates for each district is denoted by  $x_1$ , the latitude (northsouth direction) and  $x_2$ , the longitude (east-west direction). These coordinates were obtained from (Itouchmap.com, 2012).

**Trend surface models:** A general trend surface model is described as follow:

$$y = \sum_{i=0}^{p} \sum_{j=0}^{q} \beta_{ij} x_1^i x_2^j + \varepsilon$$

where,



Fig. 1: Area by districts in Sarawak (Sham, 2012)





Epid week

where.

Fig. 2: Reported cases of HFMD for year 2011-2012 by epid week

y = The response variable  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  = The predictors  $\beta$  = The parameter and  $\varepsilon$  is the error term

$$Z^* = \frac{I - E(I)}{\sqrt{V(I)}} \sim N(0, 1)$$

In particular, a second order trend surface polynomial is given by:

$$\begin{array}{l} y = \beta_{00} + \beta_{10} x_1 + \beta_{01} x_2 + \beta_{11} x_1 x_2 + \beta_{20} x^2_1 + \beta_{02} x^2_2 + \\ \beta_{21} x^2_1 x_2 + \beta_{12} x_1 x^2_2 + \epsilon \end{array}$$

All possible combinations of second order trend surface models and the best subset were obtained using the Mallows' Cp statistic. In general procedure to find an adequate model by means of the Cp statistic is to calculate Cp for all possible combinations of variables and the Cp values against p.

The model with the lowest Cp value approximately equal to p is the most adequate model (Lohninger, 2012). Mallows' Cp statistic is given by:

$$C_p = \frac{SSE(p)}{\sigma^2} - n + 2_p$$

**Hypothesis test for spatial autocorrelation:** As given in Cliff and Ord (1981), the steps involved for testing the hypothesis are as follows:

Step 1: Formulation of hypothesis

H<sub>0</sub>: No spatial autocorrelation H<sub>1</sub>: Spatial autocorrelation exist

Step 2: Choice of Test Statistics

The test statistics is:

$$I = \frac{n}{S_0} \frac{e^T W e}{e^T e}$$

(Neter et al., 2004)

*e* = The residual matri

W = The weight matrix

n = The number of observations and

$$S_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_{i,j}; i \neq j$$

We used R programming language in order to calculate Moran I statistic. First of all, we need to create inverse matrix of distance weights. In the matrix, each entry for pairs of points that are close together is higher than for pairs of points that are far apart. To make it less complicated, we treat the latitude and longitude as values on a plane rather than on a sphere. However, when using latitude and longitude coordinates from more distant locations, it is advisable to calculate distances based on spherical coordinates.

We can first generate a distance matrix, then take inverse of the matrix values and replace the diagonal entries with zero.

We have created a matrix where each off-diagonal entry [i, j] in the matrix is equal to:

| Year | Model | p-value | Conclusion                    |
|------|-------|---------|-------------------------------|
| 2011 | TS 1  | 0.8483  | No spatial autocorrelation    |
|      | TS 2  | 0.8152  | No spatial autocorrelation    |
|      | TS 3  | 0.8151  | No spatial autocorrelation    |
|      | TS 4  | 0.8702  | No spatial autocorrelation    |
|      | TS 5  | 0.8550  | No spatial autocorrelation    |
|      | TS 6  | 0.7139  | No spatial autocorrelation    |
| 2012 | TS 1  | 0.9965  | No spatial autocorrelation    |
|      | TS 2  | 0.9965  | No spatial autocorrelation    |
|      | TS 3  | 0.9965  | No spatial autocorrelation    |
|      | TS 4  | 0.0038  | Spatial autocorrelation exist |

Table 1: Results of the hypothesis test

$$w_{ij} = \frac{1}{distance \ between \ i \ and \ i}$$
;  $i \neq j$ 

 $w_{ij} = 0$  when i = j

 $i = 1, 2, \dots, \dots, 11$ 

 $j = 1, 2, \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots 11$ 

## Step 3: Critical Region

At 95% significance level ( $\alpha$ =0.05), reject  $H_0$  if p-value  $<\alpha$ 

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Trend surface models could adequately explain the variation in the number of HFMD cases. All models (Appendix 1 and 2) were listed as the residuals that are not spatially autocorrelated except for TS 4 for 2012. Therefore, we can choose the best model among all to represent the number of HFMD cases in Sarawak for preferred locations.

Based on Table 1, TS 1 is suggested as the best for year 2011 and TS 3 for year 2012 since it has the smallest variance of the residuals. Thus, we believe that these models could fit the number of HFMD cases data with a higher degree of reliability. Location coordinates were the only explanatory variables used in this study. More regressor variables should be used to make a better model.

### CONCLUSION

From the beginning, we have considered a few models based on the idea that number of HFMD cases can be described by a fairly simple mechanism such as regression residual analysis. The residuals from all the trend surface models were examined for spatial autocorrelation using the coefficient, *I*. We tested *I* for significance by using Moran *I* command.

For 2011, all models had residuals that were not spatially autocorrelated. One of them was selected as the best model that could explain the trend surface of the HFMD cases in Sarawak. Since the model mentioned is a first order polynomial, this means that the number of HFMD cases in Sarawak has a linear surface. Hence from this model we could say that as in any direction the change in the disease pattern could be similar since HFMD has linear trend in both northsouth and east-west directions in a non-outbreak situation.

However, in 2012, one out of the four models is spatially autocorrelated. Among the three models, the best model selected is a second order polynomial. This means that the HFMD cased in Sarawak for year 2012 has a quadratic surface. The disease has a quadratic trend in the east-west direction and a linear trend in the north-south direction. Meaning that the disease pattern changes faster as we move along the east-west direction compared to north-south direction in an outbreak situation.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We would like to thank to the Crisis Preparedness and Response Centre, Communicable Disease Control Section, Sarawak Health Department for the invaluable assistance on compiling the dataset for us from weekly routine observations from week 1 to week 52 every year; many thanksto Institute for Medical Research and Ministry of Science and Technology for the financial support.

#### Appendix 1:

The fitted trend surface models and the results of the hypothesis test for 2011 are as follows.

| y = 1 | 18404.15+196.26x <sub>1</sub> -165.696x <sub>2</sub> | (TS 1) |
|-------|--|--------|
|-------|--|--------|

$$y = 9068.00 + 4602.81x_1 - 83.24x_2 - 38.77x_1x_2$$
 (TS 2)

$$y = 14078.64 + 2217.35x_1 - 129.6x_2 - 15.98$$
  
x<sub>1</sub>x<sub>2</sub> - 36.53x<sub>1</sub><sup>2</sup> (TS 3)

$$y = 1394132.78+30776.8x_1-25223.27x^2-275.82 \\ x_1x_2+119 \ x_1^2+114.06x_2^2 \ \ (TS \ 4)$$

$$\begin{array}{l} y = 1322972.26\text{-}124881.68x_1\text{-}22217.65x_2\text{+}1114.71 \\ x_1x_2 + 24979.6\ x_1^{2+} 92.88\ x_2^{2-}222.12x_1^{2}x_2 \end{array} \tag{TS 5}$$

$$y = -5682994.50+103365.53x_1-100933.85x_2+103614.37$$
  
x<sub>1</sub>x<sub>2</sub> -469.48 x<sub>1</sub><sup>2</sup> -910.3 x<sub>2</sub><sup>2</sup>+461.67x<sub>1</sub> (TS 6)

#### Appendix 2:

The fitted trend surface models and the results of the hypothesis test for 2012 are as follows.

| $y = 86855.56 - 17698.78x_1 + 1.501x_1x_2^2 - 170.27$ |        |  |
|---|--------|--|
| $x_1^2$ -6.96 $x_2^2$                                 | (TS 1) |  |
| $x = 75717.07.12615.72x + 1.02x + 2.6.01x^{2}$        | (TS 2) |  |

$$y = 75717.97 - 12615.73x_1 + 1.03x_1x_2^2 - 6.01x_2^2 \tag{TS 2}$$

$$y = 49456.36 + 0.06x_1x_2^2 - 3.96x_2^2$$
 (TS 3)

$$y = 23414.42 - 1.76x_2^2$$
 (TS 4)

# REFERENCES

Bie, Q., D. Qiu, H. Hu and B. Ju, 2010. Spatial and temporal distribution characteristics of hand-footmouth disease in China. J. Geo-Inform. Sci., 12(3): 380-384.

- CDC, A., 2011. Hand, Foot and Mouth Disease (HFMD). Retrieved from: http://www.cdc. gov/ hand-foot-mouth/about/index.html (Accessed on: February 14, 2013).
- Cliff, A.D. and J.K. Ord, 1981. Spatial Processes: Models and Applications. Pion Ltd., London.
- Hu, M., Z. Li, J. Wang, L. Jia, Y. Liao, S. Lai *et al.*, 2012. Determinants of the incidence of hand, foot and mouth disease in china using geographically weighted regression models. PloS one, 7(6): e38978.
- Itouchmap.com, 2012. Latitude and Longitude of a Point. Retrieved from: http://itouchmap.com/ latlong. html.
- Lohninger, H., 2012. Mallows Cp Statistic. Retrieved from: http://www.statistics4u.info/ fundstat\_eng/ cc\_varsel\_mallowscp.html (Accessed on: February 19, 2013).
- Neter, J., H.K. Michael and N. Chris, 2004. Applied Linear Regression Models. 2 Edn., McGraw-Hill/Irwin, Homewood, Boston, pp: 701.
- Podin, Y., E.L. Gias, F. Ong, Y.W. Leong, S.F. Yee and M. Yusof *et al.*, 2006. Sentinel surveillance for human enterovirus 71 in Sarawak, Malaysia: Lessons from the first 7 years. BMC Public Health, 6(1): 180-180.

Sarawak Health Department, 2003. HFMD Guidelines.

- Sarawak Health Department, 2006. HFMD in Sarawak. Retrieved from: http://jknsarawak.moh.gov.my/en/ modules/mastop\_publish/?tac=122.
- Sarawak Health Department, 2012. Sarawak Weekly Epid News. Retrieved from: htzp://jknsarawak. moh.gov.my.
- Sham, 2012. Sarawak Map by Districts. Retrieved from: http://mysarikei.blogspot.com/ 2012/07/ selamat-datang-ke-sarawak.html (Accessed on: May 3 2013).
- Tiing, F.C.S. and J. Labadin, 2008. A simple deterministic model for the spread of Hand, Foot and Mouth Disease (HFMD) in Sarawak. Proceeding of the 2nd Asia International Conference on Modelling and Simulation (AMS), pp: 947-952.
- Wang, J., Y.S. Guo, G. Christakos, W.Z. Yang, Y.L. Liao, Z.J. Li *et al.*, 2011. Hand, foot and mouth disease: Spatiotemporal transmission and climate. Int. J. Health Geogr., 10: 25.
- Zhou, H.F., 2012. A study on the spread model and spatial distribution of HFMD based on GIS. M.A. Thesis, China.