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Abstract: The problem of image denoising is largely discussed in the literature. It is a fundamental preprocessing task, and an important step in almost all image processing applications. Omnidirectional images offer a large field of view compared to conventional perspectives images, however, they contain important distortions and classical treatments are thus not appropriate for those deformed omnidirectional images. In this study we introduce an adaptation of an adaptation to Stein block thresholding method to omnidirectional images. We will adapt different treatments in order to take into account the nature of omnidirectional images.
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INTRODUCTION

Image denoising is a basic problem in image processing. It represents an important task in almost all image processing applications. It is defined as the process of removing unwanted noise in order to restore the original image. Among all image denoising techniques, wavelet based methods are known to yield the best results. This is due to their excellent localization property which became an indispensable signal and image processing tool to many image processing of application since it provides an appropriate basis for separation noisy signal from the image signal.

Over the last two decades several methods were proposed for image denoising using wavelet thresholding. These techniques can be grouped in two classes: individually thresholding (Donoho and Johnstone, 1994; Donoho, 1995; Chang et al., 2000; Kalavathy and Suresh, 2011) and block thresholding (Efroimovich, 1986; Kerkvlieharian et al., 1996; Cai, 1997, 1999, 2002; Cai and Zhou, 2009). Unlike perspective images, omnidirectional images offer a large field of view. However they present a non-uniform resolution and important geometric distortions. Figure 1 shows an example of omnidirectional sensor.

Recently, several works have been interested in the denoising problem for omnidirectional images. Bigot-Marchand (2008) used the sphere as a projection space for omnidirectional images and defined image processing tools in that space in order to perform the image denoising. Demonceaux and Vasseur (2006) used Markov Random Fields and defined an adapted system neighborhood for omnidirectional images.

In this study, we will adapt the Stein block thresholding algorithm to omnidirectional images. The remainder of the study is as follows: In the next section we present the Stein block thresholding approach for perspective images denoising (Chesneau et al., 2010).

Stein block thresholding for perspective images denoising: Let's consider the nonparametric regression model:

\[ Y = X + \sigma \varepsilon \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where, \( X = \{X_{(n,m)}\}_{(n,m)} \) is the noiseless image, \( Y = \{Y_{(n,m)}\}_{(n,m)} \) is the noisy image, \( \varepsilon = \{\varepsilon_{(n,m)}\}_{(n,m)} \) are i.i.d., \( n, m = 1, \ldots, N \). The aim is to denoise Y by finding an estimate X of the noiseless image X that minimize the mean squared error.

Let \( Y_{j,t,k} = \langle X, \psi_{j,t,k} \rangle \) and \( Z_{j,t,k} = \langle \varepsilon, \psi_{j,t,k} \rangle \) denote, respectively, the matrix of wavelet coefficients
of \( Y \), the matrix of Unknown coefficients and a sequence of noise random variables, where \( \psi_{j,l,k} \) is the two-dimensional dyadic orthogonal wavelet transform operator, \( j = \{0, \ldots, J \} \) is the scale parameter, \( \ell = \{1, 2, 3 \} \) is a generic integer indexing of subband, \( k \in D_j = \prod_{j=1}^{2} \{0, \ldots, 2^j - 1 \} \) is the position parameters.

The observed sequence of coefficients is defined by:

\[
Y_{j,\ell,k} = \theta_{j,\ell,k} + \sigma Z_{j,\ell,k} 
\]

Figure 2 shows a representation of the Wavelet Transform. The subbands HH, HL and LH are called the details. The subband LL is the low resolution residual.

The block thresholding methods was proposed in Hall et al. (1999) and developed, generalized to any dimension and applied to image denoising in Chesneau et al. (2010). The main of this method is to increase the quality of estimation by using the neighborhood information of the wavelet coefficients. The procedure first divides the wavelet coefficients at each resolution level into non-overlapping blocks and then keeps all the coefficients within a block if and only if, the magnitude of the sum of the squared empirical coefficients within that block is greater than a fixed threshold (Chesneau et al., 2010).

Let \( A_j = \{1, \ldots, 2^L \} \) be the set indexing the blocks at scale \( j \) where \( L \) is the block length. For each block index \( K \in A_j \), let \( B_{j,k} \) be the set indexing the position of coefficients within the \( K^{th} \) block:

\[
B_{j,K} = \{(x,y);(K-1)L+1 \leq x \leq KL \text{ and } 1 \leq y \leq \frac{L}{2J}\} 
\]

The rule of shrinkage of James-stein at block Eq. (3):

\[
\hat{\theta}_{j,\ell,k} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{\lambda_{\sigma}}{L^2} \sum_{k \in B_{j,K}} y_{j,\ell,k} & \text{otherwise} \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

where \( \lambda_{\sigma} \) is the threshold. For each block \( B_{j,k} \) at scale \( j = \{0, \ldots, J \} \) if the mean energy within the block \( L^2 \sum_{k \in B_{j,K}} y_{j,\ell,k}^2 \) is larger than \( \lambda_{\sigma} \sigma \) then \( y_{j,\ell,k} \) is shrunk by the amount:

\[
y_{j,\ell,k} \left( \frac{\lambda_{\sigma} \sigma}{L^2 \sum_{k \in B_{j,K}} y_{j,\ell,k}^2} \right)
\]

Otherwise \( \hat{\theta}_{j,\ell,k} \) is estimated by zero (Chesneau et al., 2010).

**ADAPTED METHOD FOR OMNIDIRECTIONAL IMAGES**

Omnidirectional images offer a large field of view, nevertheless, they contains significant radial distortions and present non-uniform resolution due to the non-linear projection. Consequently, denoising such images in the same way as a perspective image will lead to mistaken results. In the literature there are two ways to treat omnidirectional images. One is treating them such as perspective images by adapting their characteristic. The other, is to use the projection on the sphere and perform all treatments in this domain.

**Stereographic projection:** Geyer and Daniilidis (2000) introduced the unifying theory for all central catadioptric sensors. They prove that the central catadioptric projection is equivalent to a central
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projection to a virtual sphere followed by a projection from the sphere to the retina. This second projection depends on the shape of the mirror. Figure 3 and 4 shows the equivalence between the catadioptric projection and the two step mapping via the sphere.

The parameter $\xi$ defines the shape of mirror. In our case, we consider parabolic mirror where $\xi = 1$. However, the method can easily be adapted to the general case, let $P_s(\theta, \phi) = P_s(X_s, Y_s, Z_s)$ be the point on the sphere. The Cartesian coordinates of this point are given by:
The stereographic projection of $P_s$ on the image plane yields point $P_i(x, y)$ given by:

\[
\begin{align*}
X &= \frac{X_s}{1-Z_s} \\
y &= \frac{Y_s}{1-Z_s}
\end{align*}
\] (6)

The spherical coordinates of point $P_i$ are obtained by combining Eq. (5) and (6):

\[
\begin{align*}
x &= \cot\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \cos \varphi \\
y &= \cot\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \sin \varphi
\end{align*}
\] (7)

**Adapted spherical block estimator:** The block as defined in the classic Stein block thresholding method has the shape of a rectangle. In our case, we need to define the block in the sphere in order to take into account the radial distortions present in omnidirectional images. Each subband is mapped on the sphere (Fig. 5) and a spherical block is defined according to the spherical coordinates $\varphi$ and $\theta$ as shown in Fig. 6.

The set indexing the position of coefficients within the $K^{th}$ block in the sphere is defined by:

\[
U_{j,K} = \left\{ (\theta, \varphi), 0 \leq \varphi \leq \pi \text{ and } \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{K(\varphi - \frac{\pi}{2})} \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2} + K\varphi \right\}
\] (8)

where $\theta$ is the block length, $A_j = \{1, \ldots, 2^j (\theta_l)^{-1}\}$ is the set indexing the blocks in the sphere at scale $j$.

The suitable estimator for omnidirectional images is given using the Stereographic Projection:

\[
\hat{y}_{j,l,K} = \begin{cases} 
\sum_{k \in A_j} \frac{\lambda_s \sigma^2}{\sum_{k \in A_j} \sum_{l \in A_j} \frac{\lambda_s \sigma^2}{\sum_{j \in A_j} \sum_{l \in A_j}}} & j \in \{0, \ldots, J\} \\
0 & \text{Otherwise}
\end{cases}
\] (9)

where $U_{j}$ is the spherical block as defined in Eq. (8) for omnidirectional images.

**APPLICATION AND RESULTS**

To show the improvement given by our proposed adapted method, we have applied it on synthetic omnidirectional images of 512*512 pixels obtained using the ray tracing program POV-Ray. We created a scene where a parabolic camera, initially at the origin of the three dimensional Cartesian coordinate system and looking in the $y$-axis. This camera observes two planes.
at the same distance of the origin and parallel to yz-plane. These images are corrupted by different levels of additive white Gaussian noises $\sigma = (5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, 40)$. As in Chesneau et al. (2010), we used a block size $L = 4$ and a threshold $\lambda = 4.5$.

We have compared our results with the classical method proposed in Chesneau et al. (2010) and with the soft-thresholding method proposed in Donoho (1995). We have applied the orthogonal wavelet transform to get the wavelet coefficients using the Symmlet wavelet with 6 order vanishing moments.

In order to measure methods performances we calculate the Peak Signal to Noise Ratio (PSNR), the Mean Square Error (MSE) and the Signal to Noise Ratio (SNR) given by:

$$PSNR = 10 \log_{10} \frac{\text{max}(f)^2}{MSE} \quad (10)$$

$$SNR = 10 \log_{10} \frac{\text{norm}(f)}{MSE} \quad (11)$$

$$MSE = E \left( \left\| f - \hat{f} \right\|^2 \right) \quad (12)$$

where $f$ and $\hat{f}$ are respectively the reference and the denoised image.

Figure 7 and 8 respectively show the evolution of the PSNR and the SNR against the noise level. Overall, both the PSNR and the SNR values of our proposed approach remain higher than those for the other two methods. The evolution of the MSE against the noise level is displayed in Fig. 9 and 10 shows a visual
comparison of denoising results obtained by different methods using the same noise level $\sigma = 30$. It can be seen that our method achieves the smallest values of MSE compared with the other two methods.

We used also real omnidirectional images. They are captured using a catadioptric camera embedded on a mobile robot as shown in Fig. 1. Table 1 to 3 shows, respectively, the evolution of the PSNR, the SNR and the MSE against the noise level. Figure 11 shows a visual comparison of denoising results obtained by different methods using the same noise level $\sigma = 30$. These results confirm the previous positive results obtained on synthetic images and show that the classical approaches (Donoho, 1995; Chesneau et al., 2010), even if these methods work well for perspectives images, are not appropriate to omnidirectional images.

**CONCLUSION**

Omnidirectional images are rich in information since they depict almost the whole scene. Unfortunately, they include severe distortions. That is why classical image denoising techniques that work for perspectives images need to be adapted for omnidirectional ones.

In this study we have proposed an adaptation to Stein block thresholding (Chesneau et al., 2010). We applied our approach in synthetic and real images and we compared it to the classical methods (Donoho, 1995; Chesneau et al., 2010). The comparison shows that our adapted method has the best overall results over any other method.
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